

# Reliability & Resilience

## Reference Architecture

This brief outlines a reusable reference pattern for discovery, architecture, implementation, and operational rollout.

### Problem

Critical platforms need clear visibility into health, dependencies, latency, error patterns, incidents, recovery posture, and resilience objectives.

A command center helps teams understand risk and coordinate recovery with evidence.

### Typical Architecture

Client traffic reaches gateway and application services backed by dependencies, databases, messaging, cache, observability, and recovery automation.

### Components

Service map, health model, SLO views, incident states, RTO/RPO indicators, failure simulations, recovery runs, and post-incident records.

### Security Considerations

Protect operational telemetry, restrict incident controls, audit recovery actions, and isolate production credentials from diagnostic views.

### Integration Pattern

Read observability and platform signals through least-privilege integrations before adding controlled automation for repeatable recovery steps.

### Implementation Approach

Map dependencies, define SLO and recovery targets, build health views, simulate failures, validate playbooks, and iterate after real incidents.

### Operational KPIs

Availability, latency, error rate, SLO burn, incident count, mean time to detect, mean time to recover, RTO, and RPO.

### Deployment Model

A secure internal command surface connected to observability systems, incident tools, and controlled automation endpoints.

### BMDigitals Engagement Model

Reliability assessment, dependency mapping, SLO design, command center build, resilience testing, and operational coaching.

**Reference architecture. Final implementation depends on the client's operational and technical environment.**







